

(B)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

M.A. No. 893 /2004

Single Bench

APPELLANTS
DEFENDANTS : 1. B.B.Bharadwaj, aged about 70 years, s/o Unknown.

2. Kailash Bharadwaj, aged about 50 years, s/o Shri B.P.Bharadwaj.

3. Suresh Bharadwaj, aged about 45 years, s/o Shri B.P.Bharadwaj.

All r/o 34, Central Avenue, Choubey Colony, Raipur, Tehsil & District Raipur, C.G.

4. Smt. Seema Chaumal, aged about 36 years, w/o Shri Rajesh Chaumal through B.P.Bharadwaj, 34, Central Avenue, Choubey Colony, Raipur, Tehsil & District Raipur, C.G.

P.R. No. ..... Presented by Shri ..... dated .....

V E R S U S

RESPONDENT
PLAINTIFF : 1. Jitendra Kumar Bhothra, aged about 32 years, s/o Shri Vijay Raj Bothra r/o 3, Central Avenue, Choubey Colony, Raipur, Tehsil & Distt. Raipur, C.G.

MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL UNDER ORDER 43 RULE 1(r) C.P.C.

(17)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

M.A. No. 893/04

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	2-1-2006 Mr. Rajesh Tiwari, counsel for the appellants. Mr. Rakesh Dubey, counsel for the respondent. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that, vide impugned order, status quo was maintained till 13-5-2005. The said period has expired, therefore, this appeal has become infructuous. Learned counsel for the appellants prays for withdrawal of the appeal with liberty to take appropriate remedy available under the law if fresh order has been passed or any order extending the period prescribed under impugned order has been passed, to which learned counsel for the respondent has no objection. Considered. The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty. **Sd/-** **V.K. Shrivastava** **Judge**	

G.Singh

[पीछे देखिये

3.1.06 9.1.06